12-19-07

DEC 18 2007

19-07

PTO/SB/21 (12-07)
Approved for use through 12/31/2007. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

	<u></u>	1995, No persor	s are required to respond to a collection of Application Number	10/712,07		
TRANSMITTAL FORM (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)			Filing Date	November	r 13, 2003	
			First Named Inventor	Wolfgang Burkert		
			Art Unit	3682		
			Examiner Name	Joyce, William C.		
Total Number of Pages in This Submission			Attorney Docket Number	3587-0000	3587-000011	
ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)						
Sissue Fee Transmittal Form		☐ Drawing(s)		After Allowance Communication to Technology Center (TC)		
Fee Attached		Licensing-related Papers		Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences		
Amendment / Reply		Petition		Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)		
After Final		Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application		Proprietary Information		
Affidavits/declaration(s)		Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address		Status Letter		
Extension of Time Request		Terminal Disclaimer		Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):		
Express Abandonment Request		Request for Refund  CD, Number of CD(s)		Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance; return postcard via express mail		
Information Disclosure Statement						
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)		Remarks The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees that may be required under 37 CFR 1.16 or 1.17 to Deposit Account No. 08-0750. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.				
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application			, (coolin 110. 00 0100	. At adplicate t	sop, or the choose consesse.	
Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53						
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT						
Firm Name	Harness, Dickey & Pierce, P.L.C.					
Signature J. Muzyy / Munichy						
Printed name G. Gregory Schivley			1 001	0		
Date December		07	Reg. No. V 27,382			
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING						
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.						
Typed or printed name G. Gregory S		chiyley	1.1/)	Express Mail Label No.	EM 062 955 201 US (12/18/2007)	
Signature 9.		There	11/linku	Date	December /8, 2007	

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C./122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.





## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.:

10/712,072

Filing Date:

November 13, 2003

Applicant:

Wolfgang Burkert

**Group Art Unit:** 

3682

Examiner:

Joyce, William C.

Title:

DEVICE FOR CARRYING AND FASTENING A ROBOT

Attorney Docket:

3587-000011

Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## **COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**

Reasons for allowance are only warranted in instances in which "the record of the prosecution as a whole does not make clear the [Examiner's] reasons for allowing a claim or claims." 37 C.F.R. 1.104 (e). In the present case, Applicant believes the record as a whole makes clear the reasons for allowance and therefore no statement by the Examiner is necessary or warranted, especially since the statement may unfairly focus on certain reasons for allowance which are not reflected by the prosecution history. Therefore, the record should reflect that Applicant does not necessarily agree with each statement in the reasons for allowance. For example, while Applicant believes the claims are allowable, Applicant may not unequivocally agree that patentability resides solely in the specific feature or combination of features identified, or that each feature or combination of features identified, or that equivalents of any

of the recited features are outside the scope of the claims. Moreover, to the extent the reasons for allowance do not separately address the subject matter of all the claims, Applicant does not acquiesce to any inference that the non-addressed claims fail to present other reasons for patentability apart from the patentability of the claims which were specifically addressed by the Examiner.

Respectfully submitted,

Dec 18,2007

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. Box 828 Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303

(248) 641-1600